

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/592,014	KATO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DAVID SIMMONS	1791	

All Participants:

(1) DAVID SIMMONS.

(2) Mr. Posz.

Date of Interview: 12 May 2010

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Time: 10 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

4-7

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that the notion of causing gas to move through an adhesive layer from bottomed holes in a release sheet as claimed was allowable over any prior art found by the examiner in his search of claims 4-7 (elected). However, certain language as proposed in the examiner's amendment would clarify the language of the claims. Mr Posz submitted proposed changes in a FAX which were adopted by the examiner in his examiner's amendment. Claims to the non-elected invention were also authorized to be cancelled..